IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.SIRI JAGAN

MONDAY, THE 1ST OCTOBER 2007 / 9TH ASWINA 1929

OP.No. 27524 of 1999(B)

PETITIONER:

RANADEV.T.R.,
S/o. RAGHAVAN, THEKKINEZATH HOUSE,
WARD NO:X, NAYARAMBALAM-682 509.

BY ADV. SRI.JOSE K.KOCHUPAPPU
SRI.V.G.SANKARAN

RESPONDENTS:
----

1. BHAMAPURAM DIESEL POWER PLANT,
REPRESENTED BY ITS

2. DIVISIONAL EMPLOYMENT EXCHANGE,
ERNAKULAM COCHIN-682 021.

3. EMPLOYMENT EXCHANGE, VYPEEN.

*** ADDITIONAL R4 IS IMPLEADED**

4. KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD,
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY,
VYDUTHI BHAVAN, TRIVANDRUM.
(* ADDITIONAL R4 IS IMPLEADED AS PER ORDER DATED 13.3.2000 IN C.M.P.No.47820/1999)

BY ADV. SRI.C.RAGHAVAN, SC, KSEB
SRI.S.RAMESH BABU, SC. KSEB
SRI.P.SANTHALINGAM, SC, KSEB
SRI.C.K.KARUNAKARAN, SC FOR KSEB

THIS ORIGINAL PETITION HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 01/10/2007,THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

APPENDIX

PETITIONERS EXHIBITS:

EXT.P1: COPY OF THE CASTE CERTIFICATE ISSUED BY THE TAHSILDAR, KOCHI.

EXT.P2: COPY OF THE IDENTITY CARD ISSUED TO THE PETITIONER 2ND RESPONDENT NO.5913/78.

TRUE COPY

P.A.TO JUDGE

S.SIRI JAGAN, J

O.P.No. 27524/1999

Dated this 1st day of October, 2007

JUDGMENT

The petitioner claims to be a candidate sponsored by the Employment Exchange awaiting appointment in temporary vacancies in the first respondent establishment. The allegation is that in spite of receipt of candidates sponsored by the Employment Exchange, first respondent continues to employ daily wage employees recruited directly. Therefore, the petitioners seek direction to the first respondent to engage employees only from the list forwarded by the employment exchange.

2. A counter affidavit has been filed by the Additional fourth respondent in which it is stated that in O.P.14367/1999 this Court had passed interim order directing to continue the petitioners in that writ petition in their respective jobs until disposal of the O.P and, therefore, the interview of the office attendants who has been sponsored by the Employment Exchange could not be held.

3. A copy of the judgment in O.P.14367/1999 is available in the file. It shows that the O.P has been dismissed on 27.9.2002. In view of the same, there is no impediment for appointing persons from the Employment Exchange. However, more than eight years have elapsed since filing of the writ petition. In the above circumstances, writ petition is closed with a direction that if the first respondent still requires additional hands, the same shall be recruited only through the Employment Exchange.

S.SIRI JAGAN
JUDGE

mrcs